

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/341,241 | 09/14/1999 | CHRISTINE DUPUIS | 2350-73 | 4909 |
| | 7590 05/07/2002 | | | |
| NIXON & VANDERHYE | | | EXAMINER | |
| 8TH FLOOR | GLEBE ROAD | | SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J | |
| ARLINGTON, VA 222014714 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1617 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/341,241 | DUPUIS ET AL. | | | | |
| , marriedly rieden | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Shahnam Sharareh | 1617 🥦 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel | ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in | | | | |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1. ☑ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 April 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) 🗹 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancell | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo | ☐ will be entered and an wor appended. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-28</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | . | | | | |
| 10. | • | | | | | |
| | F. | RUSSELL-TRAVERS BIMARIV EXAMINER GROUP 1200 | | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: scope of the penang claims would be modified, thus requiring further search and consdieration.